

Susy and $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}\mathbb{O}$ Redux

Paul K. Townsend

University of Cambridge

- Why 60? Type “Chris Hull Obituary” into duckduckgo. Ten entries. They made it to 25(2), 30(2), 36, 53(2), 56, 58, 60.
- What happened to the over 60s? It’s all explained (naturally) by the theory of everything, which is not only *U*-dual (naturally) but intimately connected (some say supernaturally) to $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}\mathbb{O}$.

Hull@60, 29 April 2017

Overview

- 1 RCHO and $d = 3, 4, 6, 10$ susy
- 2 RCHO and Superparticles
- 3 RCHO and $D = 4, 5, 7, 11$ sugra

Composition algebras

Algebra \mathbb{K} is a composition algebra if

- ① $\forall x \in \mathbb{K}, \exists$ a non-degenerate quadratic form $|x|^2 \in \mathbb{R}$.
- ② $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{K}, |xy|^2 = |x|^2|y|^2$.

\mathbb{K} is a “normed division algebra” if $|x|^2$ is positive definite. A theorem of Hurwitz says that there are just four possibilities:

- \mathbb{R} . Real numbers (ordered, commutative, associative)
- \mathbb{C} . Complex numbers (commutative, associative)
- \mathbb{H} . Quaternions (associative)
- \mathbb{O} . Octonions

Lorentz groups and $SL(2; \mathbb{K})$ [Kugo & PKT, Sudbery]

- $d = 3$. $SL(2; \mathbb{R}) \cong Spin(1, 2)$
- $d = 4$. $SL(2; \mathbb{C}) := Sl_1(2; \mathbb{C}) \cong Spin(1, 3) \times U(1)$
- $d = 6$. $SL(2; \mathbb{H}) \cong Spin(1, 5)$
- $d = 10$. $SL(2; \mathbb{O}) \cong Spin(1, 9)$

Check: $SL(2; \mathbb{K})$ has $4 \dim \mathbb{K} - 1$ generators for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, but

$$\dim sl(2; \mathbb{O}) \neq 4 \times 8 - 1 = 31$$

because of failure of Jacobi identity [Sudbery]:

$$[A, [B, X]] - [B, [A, X]] = [[A, B], X] + E(A, B)X ,$$

where $E(A, B) \in G_2$. Since $\dim G_2 = 14$, the correct count is

$$\dim[sl(2; \mathbb{O})] = 31 + 14 = 45 \quad \checkmark$$

The conformal group and $Sp(4; \mathbb{K})$ [Sudbery]

Define $Sp(4; \mathbb{K})$ as group preserving **skew-hermitian** quadratic form on \mathbb{K}^4

- $d = 3$. $Sp(4; \mathbb{R}) \equiv Spin(2, 3)$
- $d = 4$. $Sp(4; \mathbb{C}) \equiv Spin(2, 4) \times U(1)$
- $d = 6$. $Sp(4; \mathbb{H}) \equiv Spin(2, 6)$
- $d = 10$ $Sp(4; \mathbb{O}) \equiv Spin(2, 10)$

These are conformal isometries of $Mink_d$ except extra $U(1)$ for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$

For $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{RCH}$, we have $\dim[sp(4; \mathbb{K})] = 6 \dim \mathbb{K} + 4$

For $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{O}$ the **add 14 rule** again applies [Chung & Sudbery]

$$\dim sp(4; \mathbb{O}) = 6 \times 8 + 4 + 14 = 66 \quad \checkmark$$

Rotation subgroups and $SO(2; \mathbb{K})$

Define $SO(k; \mathbb{K})$ as group preserving **Hermitian** quadratic form on \mathbb{K}^k

Then:

- $d = 3$. $SO(2; \mathbb{R}) \equiv U(1) \cong Spin(2)$
- $d = 4$. $SO(2; \mathbb{C}) \equiv U(2) \cong Spin(3) \times U(1)$
- $d = 6$. $SO(2; \mathbb{H}) \cong SU^*(4) \cong Spin(5)$
- $d = 10$. $SO(2; \mathbb{O}) \cong Spin(9)$

These are rotation subgroups **except extra $U(1)$ for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$** .

For $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{RCH}$, we have $\dim[so(2; \mathbb{K})] = 3 \dim \mathbb{K} - 2$

For $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{O}$ apply **add 14 rule**

$$\dim[so(2; \mathbb{O})] = (3 \times 8 - 2) + 14 = 36 \quad \checkmark$$

Super-Yang-Mills and RCHO

Construction of SYM requires a **Dirac Matrix Identity** valid only for $d = 3, 4, 6, 10$ [Brink, Scherk & Schwarz] and DMI converts “transverse” \mathbb{R}^{d-2} into $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$ [Evans, Schray, Baez & Huerta]

Same DMI needed for GS superstring [Green & Schwarz]

N.B. DMI is equivalent to existence of Jordan algebra of 3×3 Hermitian matrices over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$ [Sierra, Fairlie & Manogue]

Super-Maxwell equations and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{RCHO}$ [Galperin, Howe & PKT]

For $d = 3, 4, 6, 10$, super-Maxwell equations are equivalent (via “twistor-type” transform) to **\mathbb{K} -chirality** constraint on a \mathbb{K} -valued worldline scalar superfield

Lorentz vectors and RCHO

For spacetime dimension $d = 3, 4, 6, 10$ we can represent position in Minkowski spacetime by a 2×2 Hermitian matrix \mathbb{X} over $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$:

$$\mathbb{X} = \begin{pmatrix} -X^0 + X^1 & \mathbf{x} \\ \bar{\mathbf{x}} & -X^0 - X^1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{K}).$$

For $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, Lorentz transformation is

$$\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \mathbb{X} \mathbb{L}^\dagger, \quad \det(\mathbb{L} \mathbb{L}^\dagger) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\mathbb{L} \in \text{SL}(2; \mathbb{K})}$$

Hermitian $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{H} have well-defined (real) determinant, as do hermitian matrices over \mathbb{O} if $n \leq 3$.

$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{O}$: $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{L} \mathbb{X} \mathbb{L}^\dagger$ for $\mathbb{L} \approx \mathbb{I}$ [Sudbery] but finite Lorentz transformation is more complicated [Manogue & Schray]

The relativistic particle and RCHO

A particle has position d -vector \mathbb{X} and momentum d -covector \mathbb{P}

$$\mathbb{P} \rightarrow (\mathbb{L}^\dagger)^{-1} \mathbb{P} \mathbb{L}^{-1}, \quad \det \mathbb{P} = m^2.$$

Lorentz invariant action is

$$S = \int dt \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\dot{\mathbb{X}} \mathbb{P}) + \frac{1}{2} \text{e} (\det \mathbb{P} - m^2) \right\}.$$

☞ Real-trace satisfies $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{A}\mathbb{B}) = \text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{B}\mathbb{A})$.

Trace reversal [Schray]

If hermitian \mathbb{V} is d -vector then $\tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{V}}} = \mathbb{V} - \text{tr} \mathbb{V}$ is d -covector, and

$$\tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{V}}} = \mathbb{V}, \quad \mathbb{V} \tilde{\tilde{\mathbb{V}}} = -(\det \mathbb{V}) \mathbb{I}_2$$

Bi-spinor formulation and spin-shell constraints

Write $\mathbb{P} = \mp \mathbb{U} \mathbb{U}^\dagger$, $\mathbb{U} \rightarrow (\mathbb{L}^\dagger)^{-1} \mathbb{U} \mathbb{R}$ $\mathbb{R} \in SO(2; \mathbb{K})_{\text{local}}$

cf. vielbein formulation of GR; expect local $SO(2; \mathbb{K})$ invariance

Substitute: $\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\dot{\mathbb{X}} \mathbb{P}) = \text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\dot{\mathbb{U}} \mathbb{W}^\dagger) + d_t(\dots)$, where

$$W = \pm \mathbb{X} \mathbb{U} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \equiv \mathbb{U}^\dagger W - W^\dagger \mathbb{U} := \mathbb{G}$$

Incidence relation

View \mathbb{W} as independent by imposing $\mathbb{G} = 0$ as a “spin-shell” constraint

Why “spin-shell”? For $d = 3, 4, 6$ [Arvanitakis, Mezincescu, PKT]

Pauli Lubanski 3-form (self-dual for $d = 6$) is $\mathbb{U} \mathbb{G} \mathbb{U}^\dagger$.

So, $\mathbb{G} = 0 \Rightarrow$ zero spin.

Bi-twistor action [Arvanitakis, Barns-Graham & PKT]

Now have equivalent “bi-twistor” action

$$S = \int dt \left\{ \text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\dot{\mathbb{U}}\mathbb{W}^\dagger) - \text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{G}) - \frac{1}{2}e(\det(\mathbb{U}\mathbb{U}^\dagger) - m^2) \right\}$$

» \mathbb{G} generates expected $SO(2; \mathbb{K})_{\text{local}}$ gauge transformations

Why “bi-twistor”? Because

$$\left. \begin{array}{rcl} \text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\dot{\mathbb{U}}\mathbb{W}^\dagger) & = & \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{Z}^\dagger\Omega\dot{\mathbb{Z}}) \\ \mathbb{G} & = & -\text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{Z}^\dagger\Omega\dot{\mathbb{Z}}) \end{array} \right\} \text{for } \mathbb{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{U} \\ \mathbb{W} \end{pmatrix} \text{ & } \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathbb{I}_2 \\ \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and these expressions are unchanged if $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{R}$ for $M^\dagger\Omega M = \Omega$, which defines the **conformal group** $Sp(4; \mathbb{K})$.

» Only the mass-shell constraint breaks conformal invariance

Massless particle in AdS_D , $D = 4, 5, 7$ Omit the mass-shell constraint to get $Sp(4; \mathbb{K})$ -invariant action

$$S = \int dt \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathbb{Z}^\dagger \Omega D_t \mathbb{Z}) \right\}, \quad D_t \mathbb{Z} = \dot{\mathbb{Z}} + \mathbb{S} \mathbb{Z}$$

- Phase-space dimension has increased by 2, so spacetime dimension is now $D = d + 1$. What is this spacetime?
- For $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ it is AdS_D , and for zero mass $Sp(4; \mathbb{K})$ is its isometry group [Arvanitakis, Barns-Graham & PKT]

Non-zero mass

For particle of mass m in AdS_5 of radius R , a complex field redefinition yields action of Claus, Rahmfeld & Zunger with $\mathbb{G} = imR\mathbb{I}$.

For $\mathbb{K} \neq \mathbb{C}$ need quadri-twistor variables [Cederwall]

Superparticle and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}\mathbb{O}$

N -extended superparticle in Mink $_d$: make replacement

$$\dot{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \dot{\mathbb{X}} + \Theta^\dagger \overset{\leftrightarrow}{d}_t \Theta, \quad \Theta \rightarrow N \Theta \mathbb{L}^\dagger, \quad N \in SO(N; \mathbb{K})$$

for anticommuting $SL(2; \mathbb{K})$ spinors $\Theta \Rightarrow 2N \dim \mathbb{K}$ susy charges

Proceeding as before, for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{H}$ we get

$$S = \int dt \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathbb{Z}^\dagger \Omega D_t \mathbb{Z} \mp \Xi^\dagger D_t \Xi) - \frac{1}{2} e (\det(\mathbb{U} \mathbb{U}^\dagger) - m^2) \right\}$$

where $\Xi = \Theta \mathbb{U}$ are anticommuting Lorentz scalars:

$$\Xi \rightarrow N \Xi \mathbb{R}^\dagger \quad \mathbb{R} \in SO(2; \mathbb{K})_{\text{gauge}}$$

$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{O}$: massless $SL(2; \mathbb{O})$ superparticle known [Oda, Kimura & Nakamura]

Superparticle in $\text{AdS}_{4,5,7}$

Omitting mass-shell constraint, we get **bi-supertwistor** action

$$S = \int dt \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}} (\mathcal{Z}^\dagger \Omega D_t \mathcal{Z}) \right\} \quad \mathcal{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} \\ \Xi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 2\mathbb{I}_N \end{pmatrix}$$

This is $OSp(N|4; \mathbb{K})$ -invariant.

It describes a **massless superparticle in AdS_D** for $D = 3 + \dim \mathbb{K}$
 [Arvanitakis, Barns-Graham & PKT]

Quantum Theory: $\Xi \rightarrow N \dim \mathbb{K}$ fermi oscillators

⇒ Supermultiplet of $2^{N \dim \mathbb{K}}$ polarization states

M-theory supergravitons and RCHO

The M2, D3 & M5 branes interpolate between the Minkowski vacuum and the **maximally supersymmetric** “ $AdS \times S$ ” vacuum [Gibbons & PKT]. The isometry supergroups of these near-horizon vacua are as follows:

$M2$	$: AdS_4 \times S^7$	$: OSp(8 4; \mathbb{R}) \supset Spin(8) \times Sp(4; \mathbb{R})$
$D3$	$: AdS_5 \times S^5$	$: OSp(4 4; \mathbb{C}) \supset U(4) \times Sp(4; \mathbb{C})$
$M5$	$: AdS_7 \times S^4$	$: OSp(2 4; \mathbb{H}) \supset USp(4) \times Sp(4; \mathbb{H})$

Isometry supergroup is $OSp(N|4; \mathbb{K})$ with $N \dim \mathbb{K} = 8$

$\Rightarrow 2^8 = 128 + 128$ polarization states

\Rightarrow Massless superparticle is a supergraviton

Speculations

According to Nahm, \nexists supergroup for $D = 11$, but the $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{O}$ case of $M2, D3, M5$ sequence yields the “soft” Lie supergroup $OSp(1|4; \mathbb{O})$ [Hasiewicz & Lukierski]

This should corresponds to some “M9-brane”, but only candidate is a Horava-Witten Mink₁₀ boundary of $D = 11$ spacetime.

- Do higher-deriv. corrections to $D = 11$ sugra allow AdS₁₁ vacuum?
- If so, is there a M9-brane solution of the corrected equations?
- If so, is the M9-brane worldvolume action an E_8 SYM theory?
- If so, is this holographic dual of M-theory?

in short,

Is M – theory octonionic?

Why 60? Redux

Question: Does the afterlife really begin at 60 for those individuals unfortunate enough to be called "Chris Hull"?

Answer: Let's investigate using RCHO

- Sergeant Pepper's theorem [Beatles, 1967] states that

$$(\dim \mathbb{R})(\dim \mathbb{C})(\dim \mathbb{H})(\dim \mathbb{O}) = 64$$

- And let's not forget the **add 14 rule**: $64 + 14 =$ 78

So Chris, no need to panic! Welcome to the > 60 club.