

Conformal Supergravity Tree Amplitudes from Open Twistor String Theory

For Michael Duff's 60th Birthday

hep-th/0703054 {LD, P. Goddard}

0811.1341 [hep-th] {LD, J. Ihry}

hep-th/0312171 {E. Witten}

hep-th/0402045 {N. Berkovits}

hep-th/0406051 {N. Berkovits and E. Witten}

**CONFORMAL ANOMALIES AND THE RENORMALIZABILITY
 PROBLEM IN QUANTUM GRAVITY**

D.M. CAPPER

Physics Department, Queen Mary College, London, UK

and

M.J. DUFF*

Mathematics Department, King's College, London, UK

Received 23 May 1975

It is argued that conformal invariance cannot in general solve the problem of renormalization in quantum gravity. This is due to the presence of conformal anomalies.

It has recently been suggested by several authors [1, 2] that the long-outstanding problem of renormalizing quantum gravity might be solved by appealing to conformal invariance. The purpose of this note is to point out that this is unlikely to be the case since conformal invariance is not a good symmetry at the quantum level [3], i.e., the presence of conformal anomalies in these models will spoil renormalizability.

The author of ref. [1] considered an Einstein-Yang-Mills Lagrangian which was invariant under the Weyl conformal transformation of the metric tensor

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \sigma(x) g_{\mu\nu}(x), \quad (1)$$

with σ arbitrary, in addition to the usual general coordinate transformations. Now the only possible counter-

may occur. Indeed, in ref. [3], the present authors showed, using dimensional regularization, that Lagrangian models initially invariant under the transformation (1) do in fact exhibit anomalous behaviour and that conformal invariance is violated. Having lost conformal invariance in this way, one cannot then invoke it to restrict the possible types of counterterm. This is analogous to the situation in the unified gauge models of weak and electromagnetic interactions where the γ_5 anomalies may spoil renormalizability [4].

There are two further points concerning ref. [3] that should be emphasized. Firstly, at the one-loop level the counterterms will be correctly invariant



UNIVERSITY OF LONDON KING'S COLLEGE

TEL: 01-836 5454 on 2238

STRAND LONDON WC2R 2LS

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

4th December 1975

Dear Louise,

Thanks for your letter and for sending me the info. on the Arizona Conference ...

An interesting evening on the radio last night: first Graham Greene was on (a rare visit to England said the interviewer - I was lucky) and said his favourite novel was; yes, the 'Honorarium Counsel'! Then later, who else was on but Steven Weinberg talking about the 'unification of the forces of nature' (well, 3 of them, anyway) plus ^{Lowell} ~~sketchy~~ (?) Smith for good measure on the 4's.

My friend Deser is coming to King's for 3 months in January & we'll be sharing an office! (If you can't visit 'em, join 'em) Maybe I'll see him at Harvard. Got a preprint request from Kallouh in Moscow ⁱⁿ whose paper Coppers & I ... Wrote back in friendly terms, in order to maintain Detente. (Apparently she's a woman!) ...



Twistor String is a Finite Theory:

$D = 4, \mathcal{N} = 4$ Conformal Supergravity coupled to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

Dipole Ghosts

Tree Amplitudes

Comparison with Einstein Gravity

Weak-Weak Duality, Toward a QCD String



Open Twistor String

The world sheet action with Euclidean signature is

$$S = S_{YZ} + S_{\text{ghost}} + S_G \quad \text{where } S_G \text{ has } c = 28$$

$$S_{YZ} = \int d^2z (Y^{Iz} D_z \bar{Z}^I + Y^{I\bar{z}} D_{\bar{z}} Z^I)$$

with $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - iA_\mu$ and $1 \leq I \leq 8$.

The equations of motion for S_{YZ} are

$$D_{\bar{z}} Z = D_z \bar{Z} = 0, \quad D'_z Y^z = D'_{\bar{z}} Y^{\bar{z}} = 0$$

together with the constraints $Y^{\bar{z}} Z = Y^z \bar{Z} = 0$.

The end condition on the open string

$$n_z Y^z \delta \bar{Z} = -n_{\bar{z}} Y^{\bar{z}} \delta Z$$

is satisfied by the **boundary conditions**

$$\bar{Z} = UZ, \quad Y^z n_z = -U^{-1} Y^{\bar{z}} n_{\bar{z}}$$

where $U = e^{2i\alpha}$, $|U| = 1$.

World-sheet Field Content

The fields $Z^J, 1 \leq J \leq 8$, comprise four boson fields, $\lambda^a, \mu^a, 1 \leq a \leq 2$, and four fermion fields $\psi^M, 1 \leq M \leq 4$.

The gauge invariance insures that the Z^J are effectively projective coordinates in the target space $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3|4$.

In a gauge where A_z and $A_{\bar{z}}$ are zero, the mode expansion is

$$Z(z) = \sum Z_n z^{-n}, \quad Y(z) = \sum Y_n z^{-n-1}, \quad J^A(z) = \sum J_n^A z^{-n-1}.$$

$$[[Z_m^I, Y_{Jn}]] = \delta_J^I \delta_{m,-n}, \quad [J_m^A, J_n^B] = i f^{AB}{}_C J_{m+n}^C + km \delta_{m,-n} \delta^{AB}.$$

$[[,]]$ denote anticommutators when $I, J \geq 5$, otherwise commutators
 The vacuum satisfies $\Phi_n |0\rangle = 0$ for $n > -\mathcal{J}$. So $Z_n^I |0\rangle = 0$ for $n \geq 1$.

Gauge Transformations with Instanton Number $d = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The current associated with the abelian gauge transformation is

$$J(z) = - \sum_{J=1}^8 : Y^J(z) Z_J(z) : = - \sum_{\substack{J=1 \\ m}}^8 a_m^J z^{-m-1} = - \sum_m a_m z^{-m-1},$$

$$X^J(z) = q_0^J + a_0^J \log z - \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} a_n^J z^{-n}, \quad Z^J(z) =: e^{-X^J(z)} :, \quad e^{\pm q_0} = \prod_{J=1}^8 e^{\pm q_0^J}$$

Gauge transformation with winding number d :

$$g(z) = z^d e^{-\sum_n f_n z^{-n}}, \quad U_g = e^{dq_0} e^{\sum_n f_{-n} a_n}, \quad U_g Z(z) U_g^{-1} = g(z) Z(z)$$

$$\langle 0 | U_g V_1(z_1) V_2(z_2) \dots V_n(z_n) | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | e^{dq_0} V_1(z_1) V_2(z_2) \dots V_n(z_n) | 0 \rangle$$



Vertex Operators

Physical state $|\Psi\rangle = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} V(\Psi, z)|0\rangle$.

Gluon vertex operator $V^A(\Psi, z) = f(Z(z))J^A(z)$ describes the dependence on the mean position of the string in twistor superspace $\mathbb{CP}^{3|4}$, $Z' = (\pi^a, \omega^a, \theta^M)$:

$$W(z) = \int \prod_{a=1}^2 \delta(k\lambda^a(z) - \pi^a) \delta(k\mu^a(z) - \omega^a) \prod_{M=1}^4 (k\psi^M(z) - \theta^M) \frac{dk}{k}$$

Multiply by polarizations: $A(\theta) = A_+ + \theta^1\theta^2\theta^3\theta^4 A_-$

Fourier transform on ω^a , integrate over θ^M , then

$$V_-^A(z) = \int dk k^3 \prod_{a=1}^2 \delta(k\lambda^a(z) - \pi^a) e^{ik\mu^a(z)\bar{\pi}_a} \psi^1(z)\psi^2(z)\psi^3(z)\psi^4(z) J^A(z)$$

$$V_+^A(z) = \int \frac{dk}{k} \prod_{a=1}^2 \delta(k\lambda^a(z) - \pi^a) e^{ik\mu^a(z)\bar{\pi}_a} J^A(z)$$



Conformal Graviton Vertex Operators

Vertex Operator	Helicities
$V_F(z) = f^{\dot{a}}(Z(z))Y_{\dot{a}}(z)$	$(2, \frac{3}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$
$V_G(z) = g_a(Z(z))\partial\lambda^a(z)$	$(0, -\frac{1}{2}, -1, -\frac{3}{2}, -2)$
$V_{F'}(z) = f^a(Z(z))Y_a(Z) + \hat{f}^{\dot{a}}(Z(z))Y_{\dot{a}}(z)$	$(2, \frac{3}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$
$V_{G'}(z) = g_{\dot{a}}(Z(z))\partial\mu^{\dot{a}}(z) + \hat{g}_a(Z(z))\partial\lambda^a(z)$	$(0, -\frac{1}{2}, -1, -\frac{3}{2}, -2)$
$V_f(z) = f^m(Z(z))Y_m(z) + \tilde{f}^{\dot{a}}(Z(z))Y_{\dot{a}}(z)$	$(\frac{3}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2})$
$V_g(z) = g_m(Z(z))\partial\psi^m(z) + \tilde{g}_a(Z(z))\partial\lambda^a(z)$	$(\frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}, -1, -\frac{3}{2})$
$V_{\Phi}^A(z) = V_{\phi}(Z(z))J^A(z)$	$(\pm 1, 4(\pm\frac{1}{2}), 6(0))$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial Z^J} f^J = 0, \quad Z^J g_J = 0.$$



$N=4$ Conformal Gravity Particle Content

Helicity	$SU(4)_R$ Representation
0, 0	1
$-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	4
0	10
-1, -1, 0	6
$-\frac{1}{2}$	20
$-\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}$	4
1, -1	15
2, 2, 1, -1, -2, -2	1
$\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}$	4
$\frac{1}{2}$	20
1, 1, 0	6
0	10
$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$	4
0, 0	1

Tree Amplitudes

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle A_{-1}^{A_1}(z_1) A_{-1}^{A_2}(z_2) C(z_3) \rangle_{\text{tree}} &= \int \langle 0 | e^{q_0} A_{-1}^{A_1}(z_1) A_{-1}^{A_2}(z_2) C(z_3) | 0 \rangle \prod_{r=1}^3 dz_r / d\gamma_M d\gamma_S \\
 &= -\delta^4(\sum \pi_r \bar{\pi}_r) \langle 12 \rangle^2 \delta^{A_1 A_2} A_{-1(1)} A_{-1(2)} C_{0(3)} \\
 &= \delta^4(\sum \pi_r \bar{\pi}_r) \epsilon_1^- \cdot p_2 \epsilon_2^- \cdot p_1 \delta^{A_1 A_2} C_{0(3)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle A_{-1}^{A_1}(z_1) A_{-1}^{A_2}(z_2) C'(z_3) \rangle_{\text{tree}} = \langle 12 \rangle^2 \delta^{A_1 A_2} A_{-1(1)} A_{-1(2)} \frac{C'_{0(3)}}{2p_3^0} \frac{\partial}{\partial P^0} \delta^4(\sum \pi_r \bar{\pi}_r)$$

$$\text{where } P^0 = \sum_{r=1}^3 p_r^0.$$

Penrose spinors :

$$\langle rs \rangle = \pi_r^a \pi_{ra}, \quad [rs] = \bar{\pi}_r^{\dot{a}} \bar{\pi}_{\dot{a}r}, \quad p_{ra\dot{a}} = \pi_{ra} \bar{\pi}_{\dot{a}r}.$$

Polarizations:

$$\epsilon_r^+ = A_r^+ \bar{s}_{ra} \bar{\pi}_{\dot{a}r}, \quad \epsilon_r^- = A_r^- \pi_{ra} s_{ra}$$

Comparison with Einstein Gravity

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle e_{-2}(z_1)e_{-2}(z_2)e_2(z_3)e_2(z_4) \rangle_{CG} &= \langle 12 \rangle^4 \prod_{j=3,4} \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{[jk] \langle k\xi \rangle^2}{\langle jk \rangle \langle j\xi \rangle^2} \\
 &= - \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^4 [32] \langle 21 \rangle (\langle 43 \rangle \langle 21 \rangle - \langle 23 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle) [42] \langle 21 \rangle (\langle 34 \rangle \langle 21 \rangle - \langle 24 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle)}{\langle 31 \rangle^2 \langle 41 \rangle^2 \langle 34 \rangle^2 \langle 23 \rangle \langle 42 \rangle} \\
 &= \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^4 [34]^4}{(s_{12})^2} = \frac{s_{23}s_{24}}{s_{12}} \langle e_{-2}(1)e_{-2}(2)e_2(3)e_2(4) \rangle_{Einstein},
 \end{aligned}$$

which has fewer poles than Einstein gravity, since the Berends Giele Kuijf expression for Einstein gravity tree amplitudes as a product of Yang-Mills trees is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle e_{-2}(1)e_{-2}(2)e_2(3)e_2(4) \rangle_{Einstein} &= s_{12} \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^3}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle} \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^3}{\langle 24 \rangle \langle 43 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle} \\
 &= (s_{12}s_{23}s_{24})^{-1} \langle 12 \rangle^4 [34]^4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Conformal three-graviton coupling for plane wave states vanishes:

$$\langle e_{-2}(z_1)e_{-2}(z_2)e_2(z_3) \rangle_{CG} = \delta^4(\sum \pi_r \bar{\pi}_r) \langle 12 \rangle^6 \frac{[23]}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 31 \rangle^2} e_{-2(1)} e_{-2(2)} e_{2(3)} = 0$$

$$\langle e_{-2}(z_1)e_{-2}(z_2)e_2(z_3) \rangle_{Einstein} = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^6}{\langle 23 \rangle^2 \langle 31 \rangle^2} \delta^4(\sum \pi_r \bar{\pi}_r) e_{-2(1)} e_{-2(2)} e_{2(3)},$$

But the dipole partners do couple,

$$\langle e_{-2}(z_1)e_{-2}(z_2)e'_2(z_3) \rangle_{CG} = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^6}{\langle 23 \rangle^2 \langle 31 \rangle^2} \delta^4(\sum \pi_r \bar{\pi}_r) e_{-2(1)} e_{-2(2)} e'_{2(3)},$$

$$\langle e_{-2}(z_1)e'_{-2}(z_2)e_2(z_3) \rangle_{CG} = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^6}{\langle 23 \rangle^2 \langle 31 \rangle^2} \delta^4(\sum \pi_r \bar{\pi}_r) e_{-2(1)} e'_{-2(2)} e_{2(3)}.$$

J. Broedel and B. Wurm, 0902.0550 [hep-th],

C. Hull, L. Mason, and M. Abou-Zeid, hep-th/0606272.



Weak-Weak Duality, Toward a QCD String

The conformal supergraviton states have zero norm in our basis, but non-trivial inner products. A different basis would have both positive and negative norm states.

To avoid the lack of unitarity, could require a GSO-like projection to a positive definite Hilbert space.

A further projection might avoid the gravity altogether in the twistor string, leaving a string description of only Yang-Mills.



*Happy Birthday Michael
and Many Happy Returns*

$$2009 - 1973 = 36$$

$$2009 + 36 = 2045\dots$$